

Microcomputers and Emergency Management

Phase I Baseline Field Studies; State (n = 4) and Local (n = 12) Emergency Management Agencies

A. Florida

1. Indian River County
2. City of Miami
3. Pinellas County
4. Sarasota County

B. Louisiana

1. St. Charles Parish
2. Webster Parish

C. Pennsylvania

1. Northampton County
2. City of Philadelphia
3. Union County

D. Virginia

1. Grayson County
2. City of Newport News
3. City of Virginia Beach

Phase II Post-Disaster Field Studies; State (n = 3) and Local (n = 8) Emergency Management Agencies (one year after Phase I data collection).

A. Florida (Hurricane Hugo)

1. Pinellas County (Flood)
2. Nassau County (Hurricane Hugo)

B. Louisiana (State not included)

1. St. Charles Parish (Hurricane Gilbert)
2. St. James Parish (Fire/toxic threat)

C. South Carolina (Hurricane Hugo)

1. Beaufort County (Hurricane Hugo)
2. Charleston County (Hurricane Hugo)

D. Virginia (Flood)

1. City of Newport News (Nuclear Power Plant Exercise)
2. City of Virginia Beach (Hurricane Hugo)

Source: Adapted from Drabek, Thomas E. 1991. *Microcomputers in Emergency Management*. Boulder, Colorado: Institute of Behavioral Science, University of Colorado, pp. 12-16.